

Telemental Health and Telehealth in Rural Areas and Urban Hospitals

Quantum Units
Education

Affordable. Dependable. Accredited.

www.quantumunitsed.com



Telemental Health in Today's Rural Health System

David Lambert, PhD • John Gale, MS • Anush Y. Hansen, MS, MA • Zach Croll, BA • David Hartley, PhD

Overview

Telemental health has long been promoted in rural areas to address chronic access barriers to mental health care. Policymakers and advocates tend to view telehealth technology as particularly promising given the chronic shortage of mental health clinicians and long travel distances to care. While support and enthusiasm for telemental health in rural areas remains quite high, we lack a clear picture of the reality of telemental health in rural areas, compared to its promise.

The term “telemental health” is intentionally used broadly within the literature to refer to the provision of mental health care at a distance.¹ Services provided using telemental health are generally considered to be the same as those delivered in person. In this study, we define a telemental health program as a program in which direct “one-on-one” services for the treatment of a mental health condition are provided through two-way televideo technology with the specialty mental health provider at one location known as the “**distant**” site and the patient located at another location known as the “**originating**” site.* The distant site may also be referred to as the presenting, hub, specialty, provider/physician, referral, or consulting site. The originating site may also be referred to as the spoke, patient, remote, or rural site.

Early telemental health demonstrations in the 1960s established the possibility of delivering services between distant sites. Early telemental health programs were more complex (capital and labor intensive) than current programs and relied on technology less mobile than it is today. These programs typically had fixed “studios” where the equipment to transmit the video and audio communication were located. Both the originating and distant sites required staff to manage the connection and transmission process and to address the problems that frequently arose. Because transmitting technology tended to be relatively large and expensive, it was often housed at academic medical centers or at larger medical institutions and its use in smaller institutional settings was limited. While these programs included audio-visual interaction, they were generally quite limited in the technology they used and in the number and type of sites where services were provided.

Pilot and demonstration programs in the 1990s established the improving technical feasibility of telemental health.² Today's technology is more mobile, less expensive, more reliable, and may be located almost anywhere. The days of “fixed studios” are gone; now the equipment

Key Findings

The scope and volume of services provided are often modest suggesting that the business case for these programs may be weaker than the clinical case.

The programs in our study were able to secure funding and other supports to implement services, but their ability to maintain and expand services to address unmet need is less certain.

Telemental health primarily addresses issues related to the distribution of providers and travel distances to care. However, there are underlying practice management issues, common to all mental health practices in rural areas, which pose challenges to the scope and sustainability of telemental health, including reimbursement, provider recruitment and retention, practice economies of scale, high rates of uninsurance, and high patient “no show” rates.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that telehealth technology, by itself, cannot overcome service delivery challenges without underlying reform to the mental health service system.

For more information about this study, contact David Lambert at davidl@usm.maine.edu

* This definition of telemental health is consistent with services currently reimbursable through Medicare as well as some Medicaid and commercial payers. Some mental health stakeholders are advocating for a broader use of technology to deliver behavioral health services under the term “e-mental health”. Few, if any, third party payers currently reimburse providers for delivering services using these broader technologies. This definition is also consistent with that currently used by the American Telemedicine Association.¹

can be cost effectively located in small hospitals, clinicians’ offices, and their homes. While telemental health technology is becoming more mobile, the “parts” of the rural health delivery system are increasingly being reassembled into both smaller and larger (more formal) networks of care. Consequently, individuals can, and do, access telemental health care in a variety of rural settings.

As technology improves and its costs decrease, interest continues to grow in using technology to expand access to mental health services to rural residents. Despite this interest, many telehealth experts note that current barriers to greater use of telehealth are less about the technology and more about the services that can be provided to patients across service delivery settings. At a 2012 IOM telehealth workshop, a representative from the American Telemedicine Association noted a number of “deadly” barriers to the ongoing expansion of telehealth, including (1) money (limited reimbursement rates; fear of driving up costs; attracting technology companies that see large financial opportunities but do not understand health care delivery); (2) licensure and practice regulations; and (3) hype (excitement and enthusiasm that exceed practice realities and challenges).³

To better understand the role that telemental health plays in today’s rural health care system, the Maine Rural Health Research Center conducted a national study of rural telemental health programs in two phases. In the first phase, we compiled a list of telemental health programs by: (1) reviewing grantee directories for relevant programs including the Office of Rural Health Policy (ORHP)/Health Resources and Services Administration’s (HRSA) Rural Health Outreach, Rural Network Development, and Telehealth grant programs; (2) soliciting nominations from a national advisory group of rural telemental health experts recruited for this study and ORHP-funded Telehealth Resource Centers; and (3) conducting extensive web searches. This approach generated a list of 150 telemental health programs that were invited to complete a short online survey designed to collect data on their organizational context, services provided, staffing patterns, and the areas and populations served. Sixty programs responded to our survey (40 percent response rate). Fifty-three of these programs provide telemental health care; three programs responded to some but not all of the questions in the survey. Responses from these 53 programs provide a useful profile of what current rural telemental health programs are doing.

In the second phase of our study, we conducted semi-structured telephone interviews with administrators from 23 programs to understand the business and

clinical environments in which these programs operate; their successes and challenges in establishing programs and delivering services, and the prospects for and challenges of long-term sustainability. These programs were selected to represent different organizational settings (e.g., Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs), Rural Health Clinics (RHCs), Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), community mental health centers (CMHCs), academic medical centers, professional training programs, managed care programs, and provider vendors) as well as to yield geographic diversity.

This Research & Policy Brief reports primarily on the first part of our study—the online survey of 53 telemental health programs—and describes the organizational setting, services provided, and the staff mix of these programs. We draw from our telephone interviews with a subset of these programs to help describe the organizational context of telemental health programs. We will present a more thorough analysis of the data from our in-depth telephone interviews of these programs in a separate article in which we examine the clinical and business cases for rural telemental health programs.

Profile of Rural Telemental Health Programs

Organizational setting: Based on the responses to our survey, academic medical centers are the most common setting for telemental health programs (28 percent). Other common telemental health settings include community mental health centers (9 percent), acute care hospitals (9 percent), private vendors (8 percent), FQHCs (6 percent), and RHCs (6 percent).

Table 1: Organizational Uses of Telemental Health Technology (N= 53) *

	Percent (%)
Direct Patient Care	94
Consultations between Providers	72
Care Management/Coordination	46
Staff Supervision	36
Quality Improvement Activities	32

*not mutually exclusive

Services provided and Staffing: Nearly all of the responding telemental health programs provide direct patient care (94 percent). Many programs also use the technology for other clinical, supervisory and administrative functions (Table 1). Organizations in and outside of healthcare are also turning to technology to perform these and similar functions

when face-to-face interactions are difficult or not possible. When telehealth technology is not being used for direct-patient care it may be available for these other functions. Larger organizations may be in a position to avail themselves of this capacity.

Fifty of the fifty-three organizations responding to our survey provide direct patient care using telehealth technology. The most common services provided by telemental health programs are medication management, initial diagnostic evaluation, psychotherapy, and crisis stabilization (Table 2). As programs have expanded how they use telemental health, they have relied more on different types of professional staffing. Telemental health services are most commonly provided by psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, clinical social workers, and psychiatric nurse practitioners (Table 3). These figures are not mutually exclusive as telemental health programs can and often do use more than one type of mental health clinician.

Table 2: Direct Care Services Provided in Telemental Health Programs (N= 50)*

	Percent (%)
Medication Management	82
Initial Diagnostic Evaluation	80
Psychotherapy	62
Crisis Stabilization	44
Involuntary commitment assessment	28
Substance Abuse Treatment	26
Crisis Management	26

*not mutually exclusive

Table 3: Mental Health Professionals Providing Telemental Health Services (N= 50)*

	Percent (%)
Psychiatrists	88
Clinical Psychologists	44
Clinical Social Workers	38
Psychiatric Nurse Practitioners	30

*not mutually exclusive

Organizational Context of Rural Telemental Health Programs

Rural telemental health programs are located across a range of organizational settings: free-standing/independent facilities, networks, and large health systems. The size and scope of these programs vary with their specific context as described in the following examples.

Free Standing/Independent: Programs in this category are free standing health care organizations that are not part of a formal network or health care system. The types of providers in this group include CMHCs, FQHCs, RHCs, acute care hospitals including CAHs, or psychiatric hospitals. Increasingly, private commercial vendors are offering clinical and practice management services to rural providers. A challenge frequently mentioned by respondents is the difficulty of sustaining programs that rely on contracts with independent clinicians. It is often difficult to recruit replacements when a clinician terminates his/her agreement with the practice. One program reported that they had operated under agreements with three different psychiatrists and that the telemental health service was temporarily suspended when they were unable to recruit a replacement for the psychiatrist who terminated his contract.

***Example:** A private company provides online access to a network of specialists and health providers caring for patients by integrating telemedicine, scheduling, and electronic health records to link securely providers and patients. This relatively new private company is an ambitious attempt to bring together and to scale much of what has been learned and is now possible technologically within mental health. While based in a western state, this company aggressively markets itself nationally and is willing to provide access to healthcare “anywhere, anytime.”*

Network: Programs in this category deliver telemental health services through systems of collaborating health care organizations. The relationship between network participants ranges from relatively informal agreements to provide services on an as needed basis to more formally structured agreements involving set hours of service and involving a greater commitment of administrative and clinical resources. The majority of the telemental health programs we studied operate as part of a network.

***Example:** This rural telehealth network development program provides mental health crisis services to the emergency departments (EDs) of six CAHs in the central part of a Midwestern state. The program began as a pilot project at a single CAH linked to the access center of a community mental health center where the psychiatrist and specialty mental health staff are located. It was expanded to five additional CAHs based on the success of the pilot. The access center provides 24/7 crisis evaluation and support using televideo linkages for crisis patients presenting at*

the EDs of the six CAHs. The hospitals pay a fee of \$125 for each crisis evaluation. Based on the pilot's success, the program has been expanded to all CAHs in the region. The program has enabled the hospitals to substantially reduce their ED length of stay for crisis patients and reduce the number of unnecessary hospitalizations. The program has developed and implemented standard ED treatment protocols and triage algorithms for the program.

System: Programs in this category use telemental health technology to provide services to rural organizational members of hospital-based systems. Examples include urban-based systems with rural members as well as large rural systems of care. Behavioral health resources and services are retained within the system. Telemental health technology allows the systems to more efficiently deploy existing mental health resources, typically located at the parent location, to organizational members located in remote locations.

Example: *This telemental health program began providing telemental health services in 2009 from its primary location in a medium size city located in the Great Plains. The system is a regional Catholic health system with 300 locations serving four adjacent states. Services are provided through a comprehensive mental health program that provides inpatient and outpatient services. The system is able to use telemental health technology to deploy clinical resources available at the parent site to serve its rural members. The site that participated in our study included a CAH, two RHCs, two long-term care facilities, a day-care center, and a wellness center. Telemental health was used to fill gaps in access for adolescents, adults, and older persons within the local delivery system.*

Telemental Health's Role in the Current Rural Health System

In-depth telephone interviews of 23 programs conducted in the second phase of our study provide important insight into telemental health's current and future role in the rural health system. We will present a thorough analysis of this topic in a separate article. Below we briefly describe some of the key-takeaways from our telephone interviews.

Access

The impetus for first using telemental health in rural areas was to provide a needed service that otherwise would not be available due to the limited number of mental health professionals in rural areas. Equipment and infrastructure costs were substantial, but early demonstration programs established that

services could be provided to at least some rural persons needing them. Since then, telemental health technology has steadily improved and its cost has steadily declined.⁴⁻⁶ This has led many to assume that telemental health can help reduce the persistent mental health access problem in rural areas.

We found that telemental health programs are providing more types of services than in the past in a variety of settings. However, many of the programs we studied, particularly smaller programs, reported serving a relatively modest number of patients through their telemental health services. (Precise estimates were generally not available.) The smallest programs served only several patients per week. Providers also reported using telemental health services to address emergent issues at remote sites, when the providers were not present. Typically, programs were able to say that telemental health enabled them to provide services to rural persons that otherwise would not be available, but often were not able to indicate with any certainty how much additional volume or new services they might be able to deliver in the future.

Reimbursement

Despite receiving some level of third party reimbursement, many respondents reported that they may not be able to sustain telemental health services without grant funding or other supplemental support. It was difficult to determine whether the challenge of sustaining services stemmed from reimbursement barriers, productivity levels, or characteristics of the populations served (e.g., low income, self-pay, uninsured patients, or fee schedules that don't cover costs).

Patient and Provider Satisfaction

Respondents reported that, in general, their patients are satisfied with telemental health services and did not report any resistance to their use. Providers are also generally satisfied with using telemental health technology to provide services.

Successful Use of Telemental Health

Telemental health can be implemented with greater success in certain settings and/or organizational delivery systems than others. For example, smaller practices that contract with private mental health or hospital-based providers to deliver telemental health services frequently report difficulty recruiting a replacement when their existing provider terminates their agreement. This seems to be less of an issue for larger network or system-based programs as they can rely on the resources of the larger organization. These programs can also deploy the services of their clinicians, usually located at a central location, to serve rural locations within their system.

Consequently, there is usually less interruption of services when a clinician departs.

Telemental Health and Health Care Reform

We found that while telemental health is being increasingly used in rural areas, it cannot by itself overcome long-standing barriers to the development and ongoing delivery of rural mental health services. There is a tendency among some advocates to view telemental health as a panacea without understanding the underlying financial and organizational challenges of delivering mental health services in rural communities. Telemental health can address issues related to the location and distribution of specialty mental health providers and reduce patient and/or provider travel barriers (“windshield time”). However, barriers that burden the operation and viability of on-site rural mental health services (e.g., poor reimbursement rates—particularly from Medicaid and some commercial carriers, recruitment and retention difficulties, high rates of un-insurance, and high no show rates) also burden telemental health programs. The ability of telemental health services to overcome chronic rural behavioral health access issues in the current health care environment is likely to be limited until these fundamental system issues are addressed

We believe it is important not to over-promise what telemental health can accomplish. However, it is also important to recognize that telemental health can play an important role under the payment and service delivery models established or promoted under the Affordable Care Act (ACA). The ACA encourages financing structures that do not depend on fee-based reimbursement. Rather these structures provide payment to manage a population in an efficient way. The hope and expectation is that access to care and the patient experience will be maximized, costs will be kept down, and that the health needs of the population will be met within the constraints of balancing these aims. Our study suggests that telemental health may have a role to play in achieving that balance.

ENDNOTES

1. American Telemedicine Association. *Evidence-Based Practice for Telemental Health*. Washington, DC: ATA; July 2009. Retrieved from: <http://www.americantelemed.org/docs/default-source/standards/evidence-based-practice-for-telemental-health.pdf?sfvrsn=4>
2. Smith HA, Allison RA. *Telemental Health: Delivering Mental Health Care at a Distance*. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2001.
3. Institute of Medicine. *The Role of Telehealth in an Evolving Health Care Environment: Workshop Summary*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2012.
4. Baird B. *The Promise and Practice of Telemedicine in Long-Term Care*. 2012, February 13. Available at: <http://www.ltltmagazine.com/article/promise-and-practice-telemedicine-long-term-care>. Accessed September 29, 2013.
5. Kazal LA, Jr., Conner AM. *Planning and Implementing a Statewide Telehealth Program in New Hampshire: A White Paper*. Concord, NH: Endowment for Health; February, 2005.
6. American Telemedicine Association. *Telemedicine, Telehealth, and Health Information Technology: An ATA Issue Paper*. Washington, DC: ATA; May, 2006.

RUPRI Center for Rural Health Policy Analysis

Rural Policy Brief

Brief No. 2014-4

2014

www.public-health.uiowa.edu/rupri

Extent of Telehealth Use in Rural and Urban Hospitals

Marcia M. Ward, PhD; Fred Ullrich, BA; Keith Mueller, PhD

Key Findings

Data from 4,727 hospitals in the 2013 HIMSS Analytics database yielded these findings:

- Two-thirds (66.0% of rural defined as nonmetropolitan and 68.0% of urban) had no telehealth services or were only in the process of implementing a telehealth application. One-third (34.0% rural and 32.0% urban) had at least one telehealth application currently in use.
- Among hospitals with “live and operational” telehealth services, 61.4% indicated only a single department/program with an operational telehealth service, and 38.6% indicated two or more departments/programs with operational telehealth services. Rural hospitals were significantly less likely to have multiple services (35.2%) than were urban hospitals (42.1%)
- Hospitals that were more likely to have implemented at least one telehealth service were academic medical centers, not-for-profit institutions, hospitals belonging to integrated delivery systems, and larger institutions (in terms of FTEs but not licensed beds). Rural and urban hospitals did not differ significantly in overall telehealth implementation rates.
- Urban and rural hospitals did differ in the department where telehealth was implemented. Urban hospitals were more likely than rural hospitals to have operational telehealth implementations in cardiology/stroke/heart attack programs (7.4% vs. 6.2%), neurology (4.4% vs. 2.1%), and obstetrics/gynecology/NICU/pediatrics (3.8% vs. 2.5%). In contrast, rural hospitals were more likely than urban hospital to have operational telehealth implementations in radiology departments (17.7% vs. 13.9%) and in emergency/trauma care (8.8% vs. 6.3%).

Introduction and Background

Telehealth (aka telemedicine) is a special type of health information technology that holds considerable promise for enhancing the provision of care in rural communities. Telehealth is defined as the delivery of health care services at a distance, using information and communication technology.¹ Telehealth uses for patient care cover a spectrum of services, ranging from hospital-based to home-based applications. A systematic literature review of hospital-based telehealth applications found the strongest support for its use in pediatric cardiology, intensive care, and emergency care/trauma.² In terms of rural inpatient applications, systematic literature reviews have found benefits to include increased access to services, increased quality of care, and avoidance of transfers for patients.³⁻⁵ While research has been creating the case for implementing hospital-based telehealth, the actual rate of utilization is not known. This brief contributes to that research and identifies organizational factors affecting use.



Rural Health Research
& Policy Centers

Funded by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy
www.ruralhealthresearch.org

Funded by the Federal Office of Rural Health
Policy, Health Resources and Services
Administration, U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services (Grant # U1C RH20419)



RUPRI Center for Rural Health Policy Analysis,
University of Iowa College of Public Health,
Department of Health Management and Policy,
105 River St., N232A, Iowa City, IA 52242,
(319) 384-3830
<http://www.public-health.uiowa.edu/rupri>
E-mail: cph-rupri-inquiries@uiowa.edu

Methods and Purpose

The HIMSS (Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society) Analytics national database,⁶ the most complete survey of health information technology in U.S. hospitals, includes limited data on telehealth use. We report descriptive statistics to characterize the level and type of uptake of telehealth services in U.S. hospitals and the organizational characteristics/network structure of hospitals that are using these telehealth services. Significance levels of differences by characteristic were calculated using chi-square and linear regression.

Findings

Analysis of the 2013 HIMSS Analytics data set (N = 4,727 non-specialty hospitals) indicated that two-thirds of reporting hospitals (67.1%) had no telehealth services and one-third (32.9%) had at least one telehealth application currently in use. Among hospitals with "live and operational" telehealth services, 61.4% indicated only a single department/program with an operational telehealth service, and 38.6% indicated two or more departments/programs with operational telehealth services. Rural hospitals were significantly less likely to have multiple services (35.2%) than were urban hospitals (42.1%)

Table 1 shows the characteristics of hospitals that are actively using at least one telehealth service and of those that are not actively using any telehealth services. Academic medical centers were significantly more likely to be using at least one telehealth service, as were not-for-profit institutions. Further, hospitals belonging to integrated delivery systems and larger institutions (in terms of FTEs, but not licensed beds) were more likely to be actively using a telehealth service.

Table 1. Telehealth Presence by Hospital Characteristics, 2013

Characteristic	Count	Implementer	Non-Implementer ¹	p-value
Hospital Size				
Number of licensed beds (mean)	4,727	191.5	165.4	0.116
Total number of FTEs (mean)	4,473	1,143.6	847.8	0.001
Hospital Type – 2 levels				
Prospective Payment System	3,385	32.2%	67.8%	0.090
Critical Access Hospital	1,342	34.8%	65.2%	
Hospital Type – 3 levels				
Academic	207	51.2%	48.8%	<0.0001
General Medical/Surgical	3,178	31.0%	69.0%	
Critical Access Hospital	1,342	34.8%	65.2%	
Parent Organization Type				
Integrated Delivery System	2,890	34.4%	65.6%	0.010
Single Hospital Health System	1,837	30.8%	69.2%	
Ownership Status				
Leased	131	31.3%	68.7%	0.268
Managed	222	37.8%	62.2%	
Owned	4,374	32.8%	67.2%	
Profit Status				
For Profit	772	17.6%	82.4%	<0.0001
Not-for-Profit	3,951	36.0%	64.0%	
Urban/Rural – 2 levels				
Urban	2,436	32.0%	68.0%	0.156
Rural	2,291	34.0%	66.0%	
Urban/Rural – 4 levels				
Urban	2,436	32.0%	68.0%	0.462
Large rural city/town	766	35.0%	65.0%	
Small rural town	960	33.3%	66.7%	
Isolated small rural town	565	33.6%	66.4%	

Data Source: HIMSS Analytics.

¹Includes hospitals that did not indicate any active telehealth services, or that are only in the process of implementing a telehealth service.

Table 2 shows the departments/programs that were using at least one telehealth service (a single department/program within a hospital may have implemented more than one telehealth service). The largest percentage of operational telehealth implementations (15.7%) are in radiology departments

(including MRI, CT, EKG, EEG, and ultrasound), with a substantial number in emergency/trauma care (7.5%), and cardiology/stroke/heart attack programs (6.8%). Between 3% and 4% of hospitals had operational telehealth implementations in specific other departments, namely psychiatry, critical/intensive care, neurology, or obstetrics/gynecology/NICU/pediatrics departments. All other departments accounted for 2.5% of hospital operational telehealth implementations.

Table 2. Most Common Departments/Programs with Telehealth Services¹ in U.S. Hospitals, 2013

Department/Program	Overall		Urban		Rural		p-value
	n	% ²	n	% ²	n	% ²	
Radiology (MRI, CT, EKG, EEG, Ultrasound)	744	15.7%	339	13.9%	405	17.7%	<0.0001
Emergency/Trauma Care	355	7.5%	153	6.3%	202	8.8%	<0.001
Cardiology/Stroke/Heart Attack	323	6.8%	181	7.4%	142	6.2%	0.01
Psychiatry	166	3.5%	88	3.6%	78	3.4%	0.06
Critical/Intensive Care	158	3.3%	86	3.5%	72	3.1%	0.05
Neurology	153	3.2%	106	4.4%	47	2.1%	<0.0001
Obstetrics/Gynecology/NICU/Pediatrics	151	3.2%	93	3.8%	58	2.5%	0.003

Data Source: HIMSS Analytics.

¹Includes only institutions that indicated that they have telehealth services in place.

²Percent of departments/programs from implementing within all reporting institutions.

Table 3 shows the characteristics of hospitals using each of the three most commonly active telehealth services. Large hospitals, urban hospitals, and academic medical centers were more likely than rural and critical access hospitals to implement telehealth in cardiology/stroke/heart attack programs. In contrast, rural and critical access hospitals were more likely to implement telehealth in emergency departments and radiology departments.

Table 3. Most Common Departments/Programs Implementing Telehealth¹ by Hospital Characteristics, 2013

Characteristic	Count	Cardiology/ Stroke/ Heart Attack Program		Emergency Department		Radiology Department	
		Imp.	Non-imp	Imp.	Non-imp	Imp.	Non-imp
Number of licensed beds (mean)	4,727	242.3**	169.0	167.9*	174.5	174.0	174.0
Total number of FTEs (mean)	4,473	1,645.5**	894.1	979.9	941.3	964.3	940.4
*= p<.05; ** = p<.001							
Hospital Type – 2 Levels		p<0.05		p<0.0001		p<0.05	
Prospective Payment System	3,385	7.6%		6.5%		14.7%	
Critical Access Hospital	1,342	5.0%		10.0%		18.3%	
Hospital Type – 3 Levels		p<0.0001		p<0.001		p<0.05	
Academic	207	14.0%		7.7%		19.3%	
General Medical/Surgical	3,178	7.1%		6.5%		14.4%	
Critical Access Hospital	1,342	5.0%		10.0%		18.3%	
Parent Organization Type							
Integrated Delivery System	2,890	6.8%		7.7%		16.2%	
Single Hospital Health System	1,837	6.9%		7.2%		15.0%	
Ownership Status				p<0.0001			
Leased	131	3.1%		13.7%		19.8%	
Managed	222	5.4%		15.3%		16.2%	
Owned	4,374	7.0%		6.9%		15.6%	
Profit Status		p<0.0001		p<0.0001		p<0.0001	
For Profit	772	1.2%		2.8%		10.9%	
Not-for-Profit	3,951	7.9%		8.4%		16.7%	
Urban/Rural – 2 Levels		p<0.05		p<0.001		p<0.001	
Urban	2,436	7.4%		6.3%		13.9%	
Rural	2,291	6.2%		8.8%		17.7%	
Urban/Rural – 4 Levels		p<0.05		p<0.0001		p<0.05	
Urban	2,436	7.4%		6.3%		13.9%	
Large rural city/town	766	8.1%		7.7%		16.3%	
Small rural town	960	6.1%		7.6%		18.0%	
Isolated small rural town	565	3.7%		12.4%		18.9%	

Data Source: HIMSS Analytics.

¹Implementers are those hospitals that indicated that they have telehealth services in place. Non-implementers are those that did not indicate any active telehealth services, or that are only in the process of implementing a telehealth service.

Discussion

Organizational factors are beginning to be identified that play a role in telehealth adoption,⁷ and examining these helps elucidate utilization patterns. Given that telehealth is commonly used to connect remote patients and providers to urban-based care, it may be surprising that rates of overall telehealth utilization do not differ significantly between urban and rural hospitals. A likely explanation could be that urban hospitals are delivering these services to rural hospitals in a “hub and spoke” business arrangement (both would report use), and a limitation of our analysis is that HIMSS Analytics data do not clarify this pattern. However, current analyses did show differences between urban and rural hospitals in the type of telehealth services they use. In particular, urban hospitals and prospective payment system hospitals were more likely to implement telehealth in their cardiology/stroke/heart attack programs, while rural and critical access hospitals were more likely to implement telehealth in their emergency department and radiology department. By conducting a national study of telehealth use, these analyses identify applications that are used most widely in rural and critical access hospitals. We can treat these findings as baseline data to further detect spread of the “early” uses and adoption of other uses of telemedicine. Policy makers and health systems focused on expanding use could apply these findings by implementing the most common uses first, including those involving both urban and rural hospitals.

These analyses of HIMSS Analytics data indicate that telehealth adoption by hospitals is relatively low. A recent analysis⁸ of the American Hospital Association (AHA) health information technology survey reported a higher adoption rate (42% in AHA versus 33% in HIMSS Analytics). While the AHA survey only includes a single question on telehealth, an advantage of the HIMSS Analytics survey is that it asks for departments where telehealth is implemented, additional data that we used in our analysis. However, our discussions with regional Telehealth Resource Centers suggest that both AHA and HIMSS Analytics estimates are too low, especially for critical access hospitals, most of which are believed to have used tele-radiology for years. The discrepancy is largely attributed to these surveys being completed by hospital respondents who may be unclear about what constitutes telehealth. Further research is needed (and underway) to understand discrepancies in reported telehealth use and hospitals’ motivations for using particular telehealth applications.

Notes

1. Sood S, Mbarika V, Jugoo S, Dookhy R, Doarn CR, Prakash N, Merrell RC. What is telemedicine? A collection of 104 peer-reviewed perspectives and theoretical underpinnings. *Telemed J E Health*, 13: 573-590, 2007.
2. Heinzelmann PJ, Williams CM, Lugin NE, Kvedar JC. Clinical outcomes associated with telemedicine/telehealth. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 11: 329-347, 2005.
3. Hersh WR, Hickam DH, Severance SM, Dana TL, Krages KP, Helfand M. Telemedicine for the Medicare population: Update. *Evidence report/technology assessment No. 131*. AHRQ, 2006.
4. Jennett PA, Affleck Hall L, Hailey D, Ohinmaa A, Anderson C, Thomas R, Young B, Lorenzetti D, Scott RE. The socio-economic impact of telehealth: a systematic review. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 9: 311-320, 2003.
5. Wade VA, Karnon J, Elshaug AG, Hiller JE. A systematic review of economic analyses of telehealth services using real time video communication. *BMC Health Services Research*, 10: 233-246, 2010.
6. Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society. *HIMSS Analytics Database*. www.himssanalytics.org/data/HADB.aspx. Accessed November 10, 2013.
7. UMRHRC – Upper Midwest Rural Health Research Center. Implementation of telepharmacy in rural hospitals: Potential for improving medication safety. *UMRHRC Policy Brief*, 2009.
8. Adler-Milstein J, Kvedar J, Bates DW. Telehealth among US hospitals: Several factors, including state reimbursement and licensure policies, influence adoption. *Health Affairs*. 33: 207-215, 2014.